



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR  
SAN FRANCISCO SESSION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2012**

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California.

**WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2012—9:00 A.M.**

- |     |         |   |
|-----|---------|---|
| (1) | S199384 | Apple, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County<br>(David Krescent, Real Party in Interest) ( <i>Chin, J., not<br/>participating; Jones, P.J., assigned justice pro tempore</i> ) |
| (2) | S026634 | People v. Watkins (Paul Sodoa) [Automatic Appeal]   |
| (3) | S054569 | People v. Whalen (Daniel Lee) [Automatic Appeal]  |

---

CANTIL-SAKAUYE  
*Chief Justice*

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)

**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR  
SAN FRANCISCO SESSION  
NOVEMBER 7, 2012**

The following case summaries are issued to inform the public about cases that the California Supreme Court has scheduled for oral argument and of their general subject matter. Generally, the descriptions set out below are reproduced from the original news release issued when review in each of these matters was granted and are provided for the convenience of the public. The descriptions do not necessarily reflect the view of the court or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.

**WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2012—9:00 A.M.**

**(1) *Apple, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (David Krescent, Real Party in Interest), S199384 (Chin, J., not participating; Jones, P.J., assigned justice pro tempore)***

#12-21 Apple, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (David Krescent, Real Party in Interest), S199384. (B238097; no opinion; Superior Court of Los Angeles County; BC463305.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal denied a petition for peremptory writ of mandate. The court issued an order to show cause. This case presents the following issue: Does the Song-Beverly Credit Card Act of 1971 (Civ. Code, § 1747 et seq.), which prohibits retailers from recording a customer's personal identification information when the customer uses a credit card in a transaction, preclude on-line retailers from obtaining and recording a purchaser's address and telephone number as a prerequisite to accepting a credit card as payment for a purchase of an item that does not need to be shipped to the purchaser?

**(2) *People v. Watkins (Paul Sodoa), S026634*** [Automatic Appeal]

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.

**(3) *People v. Whalen (Daniel Lee), S054569*** [Automatic Appeal]

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.